UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/595,281	11/22/2006 Robert S. Creswell		70038-0068	6682		
20915 MCGARRY BA	7590 09/10/200 AIR PC	EXAMINER				
32 Market Ave.	SW	FOX, JOHN C				
SUITE 500 GRAND RAPII	DS, MI 49503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3753			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/10/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	ion No. Applicant(s)					
		10/595,28	1	CRESWELL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			John Fox		3753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN STATE IN INS	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 66(a). In no ever rill apply and will cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 Au</i>	jaust 2008.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —			osecution as to th	e merits is		
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the	application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-13 and 15-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-10</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 14</u> is/are objected							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri		election re	auirement				
		otion ana/or	Ciccionic	quironioni.				
	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by th			_				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

This action is responsive to the communication filed August 18, 2008.

The substitute drawings are noted with appreciation.

Claims 11-13 and 15-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piggott (US 3,516, 611) in view of Kuran et al, of record.

It is believed that the pertinence of the Piggot reference is readily apparent. Elements 23 and 24 rotate together. Element 24 is read as an accessory case. The discs 22 and 23 are not made of ceramic. Kuran et al show a diverter valve with the two discs made of ceramic, a well known construction. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used ceramic to make the discs 22, 23 of Piggot to improve the sealing therein and under the rationale set forth in KSR v. Teleflex, 550 U.S. ____, 127 S. Ct. 1727, 82 U.S.P.Q.2d 1385 (2007) that the simple substitution of one known element for another to obtain predictable results is obvious.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piggott in view of Kuran et al, as applied above, and further in view of Chow et al (US 4,534,512).

Application/Control Number: 10/595,281

Art Unit: 3753

Piggott, as modified, shows the claimed device except for an aerated flow nozzle, which is common and shown by Chow et al, see 134 for example. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used such an aerated flow nozzle in the device of Piggott, as modified, to similarly provide aerated flow and under the rationale set forth in KSR v. Teleflex, 550 U.S. ____, 127 S. Ct. 1727, 82 U.S.P.Q.2d 1385 (2007) that the simple substitution of one known element for another to obtain predictable results is obvious.

Page 3

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piggott in view of Kuran et al and further in view of d'Agostino et al (US 5,520,216).

Piggott, as modified, shows the claimed device except for pressure balancing. D'Agostino shows a rotary valve with pressure balancing of the rotor to eliminate axial forces. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have similarly provided the valve of Piggott, as modified, with pressure balancing to similarly eliminate axial forces.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piggott in view of Kuran et al and further in view of Acker (US 5,275,206).

Piggott, as modified, shows the claimed device except for a thrust bearing. Acker teaches a rotary valve with a thrust washer 81 and wave springs 78 for reducing friction on the rotor. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used such a thrust bearing in the valve of Piggott, as modified, to similarly reduce friction on the rotor thereof.

Claims 2-4 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 10am-6pm (Hoteling Program).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,281

Art Unit: 3753

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753 Page 5